IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 2178 of 2005

Between:

1 Mr. Mir Ahmed Ali Khan, S/o. Late Mir Aijaz Hussai,
R/o. H.No. 3-5-782/40/1, 1stFloor, King Koti, Pashi Bhat, Hyderabad-29
2 Mr. Mir Mujtha Hussain Khan, S/o. Late Mir Aijaz Hussai,
R/o. H.No. 3-5-782/40/1, 1stFloor, King Koti, Pashi Bhat, Hyderabad-29
3 Mrs. Gouherunnissa Begum, W/o. Mir S.S. Hasan
R/o. H.No. 3-5-782/40/1, 1stFloor, King Koti, Pashi Bhat, Hyderabad-29
4 Mr. Hamed Hussain, S/o. Zaherunnissa Begum,
R/o. H.No. 3-5-782/40/1, 1stFloor, King Koti, Pashi Bhat, Hyderabad-29

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Station House Officer, Panjagutta Police station,
Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
2 Assistant Commissioner Police Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
3 Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
4 Mr. Laxman rao, S/o. NOt Known to the Petition,
R/o. RBI Quarters, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
5 Dr. Ayeshawali, W/o. Not Known to the Petitioner,
R/o. 10-5-8/9, first Lancer, Hyderabad.
6 M.D. Narsaiah, S/o. sri chellaiah,
R/o. 3-4-685/3, Narayanguda, Hyderabad.
7 Mr. S.V. Krishna Prasad, S/o. sri chellaiah,
R/o. 609/135, Anandhnagar, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
8 P. Suryanarayana, S/o. Prakash rao,
R/O. 609/135, Anandnagar, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
9 Mr. V. Nagabushanam, S/o. Sri Nagalingaiah,
R/o. C/o. Mr. Mod. Arshad, R/o. H.No. 6-3-602/B, Hill Top Colony, Erra Manzil,
Hyderabad.

10 Mr. Atluri Ashok, S/o Dr. Shivaji Rao,
R/o.C/o. 609/135, Anand Nagar, Khairatabad,
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to grant an order or direction or writ, more so in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the in action of the respondents 1 to 3 in acting upon the representation of the petitioners dt. 8-6-04 is illegal, arbitrary and violative of article 14, 19 & 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to give police protection to the petitioners herein and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.R.RAGHUNANDAN

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

ORDER:.

Heard.

The grievance of the petitioners is that they own certain property in the city and they obtained an order of injunction also against some other persons. While so, some antisocial elements have been interfering with the property and rights of the

petitioners over the property. As a result of which, a complaint had been lodged on 8.6.2004 by the petitioners, before the first respondent, with copies to respondents 2 and 3, to initiate necessary action, preferably seeking police protection.

I do not find any justifiable reasons for the petitioners to wait so long, after they made a complaint. However, as and when such complaints are made, it is always incumbent upon the police agency to respond appropriately, if necessary, by conducting an investigation and take suitable action, or else, the complainants shall be informed, as to why no further action or interference need be taken.

With the above said observation, the Writ Petition is closed. No costs.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 02.03.2005

Ka

To

1 The Station House Officer, Panjagutta Police station,
Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
2 Assistant Commissioner Police Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
3 Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)

5. 2 CD Copies.